

Specific Transport Properties and Electrochemical Reaction in Solvate Ionic Liquids and Molten Solvates

Masayoshi Watanabe

Department of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Yokohama National University
79-5 Tokiwadai, Hodogaya-ku, Yokohama 240-8501, Japan

E-mail: mwatanab@ynu.ac.jp

Certain molten solvates of Li salts can be regarded as solvate ionic liquids [1]. A typical example is equimolar mixtures of glymes (G3: triglyme and G4: tetraglyme) and $\text{Li}[\text{TFSA}][(\text{TFSA})_x(\text{NTf}_2)_{1-x}]$ ($[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})][\text{TFSA}]$). The amount of free glyme estimated by Raman spectroscopy and MD simulation was found to be a few percent in $[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})]\text{X}$ with perfluorosulfonylamide-type anions such as $[\text{TFSA}]^-$, and thereby could be regarded as solvate ionic liquids [2, 3]. The activity of Li^+ in the glyme-Li salt mixtures was also evaluated by measuring the electrode potential of Li/Li^+ as a function of concentration [4]. At a higher concentration of Li salt, the amount of free glyme diminished in the solvate ionic liquids, leading to a drastic increase in the electrode potential. Unlike conventional electrolytes, the solvation of Li^+ by the glyme forms stable and discrete solvate ions ($[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})]^+$) in the solvate ionic liquids. This anomalous Li^+ solvation had a great impact on the electrolyte properties and electrode reactions, which enhanced the utility of the molten solvates in advanced lithium batteries. The electrochemical oxidation of $[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})][\text{TFSA}]$ takes place at the electrode potential of ~ 4.6 V vs. Li/Li^+ , while the oxidation of solutions containing excess glyme molecules ($[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})_x][\text{TFSA}]$, $x > 1$) occurs at lower than 4 V [5]. This enhancement of oxidative stability is due to the donation of lone pairs of ether oxygen atoms to the Li^+ cation, resulting in the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) energy level lowering of a glyme molecule, which is confirmed by *ab initio* molecular orbital calculations. This anomalous Li^+ solvation induces interesting transport properties especially when interfacial electrochemical reactions proceed, which is not Stokes-like transport of solvated ions but something like a hopping transport [5]. Another intriguing aspect of the solvate ionic liquids is unusual solubility. The theoretical capacity of the S cathode is 10 times higher than that of conventional cathode materials used in current Li-ion batteries. However, Li-S batteries suffer from the dissolution of lithium polysulfides, which are formed by the redox reaction at the S cathode. In the equimolar complexes consisting of $[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})_1]^+$ complex cation and $[\text{TFSA}]^-$ anion, both cations and anions are weak coordinating ions with low Lewis acidity and basicity, respectively. The $[\text{Li}(\text{glyme})][\text{TFSA}]$ molten complexes do not readily dissolve other ionic solutes due to the weak coordinating nature of the cation and anion, which leads to the stable operation of the Li-S battery [6]. An important electrode reaction, which is enabled by the use of molten solvates, is electrochemical Li^+ intercalation into graphite electrodes. Li^+ -intercalated graphite was successfully formed in $[\text{Li}(\text{G3})_1][\text{TFSA}]$ [4]. In contrast, the cointercalation of G3 and Li^+ (intercalation of solvate $[\text{Li}(\text{G3})_1]^+$ cation) into graphite occurred in $[\text{Li}(\text{G3})_x][\text{TFSA}]$ electrolytes containing excess G3 ($x > 1$) [4]. In the solvate ionic liquid, the activity of the free solvent is very low, and consequently, the electrode potentials for the formation of Li^+ -intercalated graphite could become higher than that for cointercalation, and the cointercalation of G3 could be inhibited in $[\text{Li}(\text{G3})_1][\text{TFSA}]$.

References:

- [1] M. Watanabe et al., *Chem. Rev.*, **117** (2017) 7190-7239. [2] K. Ueno et al., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **17** (2015) 8248-8257. [3] K. Shimizu et al., *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, **17** (2015) 22321-22335. [4] H. Moon et al., *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **118** (2014) 20246-20256; *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **119** (2015) 3957-3970. [5] K. Yoshida et al., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **133** (2011) 13121-13129. [6] K. Dokko et al., *J. Electrochem. Soc.*, **160** (2013) A1304-A1310.